
Agenda

1. **10:00AM – Call to Order**
2. **MEET WITH STATE LEGISLATORS TO DISCUSS ADVOCACY**
3. **12:00PM – CLOSE**

Consent Agenda

A very useful technique involves the use of a consent agenda. The board agenda planners (usually the executive or governance committee, but occasionally the board chair with the CEO) divide agenda issues into two groups of items. The first are those items that must be acted on because of legal, regulatory, or other requirements, but are not significant enough to warrant discussion by the full board. Such issues are combined into a single section of the board agenda book; members review these materials prior to the meeting, and if no one has any questions or concerns, the entire block of issues is approved with one board vote and no discussion. This frees up a tremendous amount of time that would otherwise be squandered on minor issues. Any member can request that an item be removed from the consent agenda and discussed by the full board. The success of the consent agenda is predicated upon all board members reading the material in the consent agenda section of the board agenda book. If they do not, then the board becomes a veritable rubber stamp. The second group of agenda items are those important issues that require discussion, deliberation, and action by the board. These are addressed one by one.

Executive Session Justification

Executive Session is convened to discuss the following topics, as permitted by the cited sections of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW):

- Executive session (RCW 42.30.110)
 - a. (a) national security
 - b. (b) (c) real estate
 - c. (d) negotiations of publicly bid contracts
 - d. (e) export trading
 - e. (f) complaints against public officers/employees
 - f. (g) qualifications of applicant or review performance of public employee/elective office
 - g. (h) evaluate qualifications of candidate for appointment to elective office
 - h. (i) discuss claims with legal counsel
 - i. existing or reasonably expected litigation
 - ii. litigation or legal risks expected to result in adverse legal or financial consequences
 - iii. presence of legal counsel alone does not justify executive session
 - i. QI/peer review committee documents and discussions
- Final action must be in open meeting



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING MINUTES

July 24, 2024

AGENDA	DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS	ACTIONS/FOLLOW-UP
CALL TO ORDER	<p>CALL TO ORDER The meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the Grays Harbor County Public Hospital District No. 1 was called to order by Andrew Hooper at 10:00 am.</p> <p>Commissioners present: Georgette Hiles, Andrew Hooper, Kevin Bossard</p> <p>Present: Josh Martin, Jennifer Brackeen, Jennifer Burkhart, Steve Tharinger, Kevin Van De Wege, Chris Thomas, Jim Walsh, Jeff Wilson</p> <p>Introductions were made.</p>	
BUSINESS FROM AUDIENCE	<p><u>Business from Audience</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NA 	
HEALTHCARE PRIORITIES WITH LEGISLATORS	<p>CEO Martin facilitated a discussion with legislators including state healthcare priorities for 2025 and Summit Pacific updates.</p>	
ADJOURNMENT	<p>The regular session of the Board of Commissioner’s Special meeting adjourned at 11:16 am.</p>	<p><i>Commissioner Hiles made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 11:15 am. Commissioner Hooper seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the meeting closed at 11:16am.</i></p>

Recording Secretary

Board Secretary