Board of Commissioners Meeting
July 27, 2017
Summit Pacific Medical Center, Elma, WA

Grays Harbor County Public Hospital District No.1

Agenda

1. 6:00 - Call to Order
   a.  Introductions as needed
   b.  Business from audience
2. 6:05 - Consent Agenda – See separate Consent Agenda – Action (vote)
3. 6:10 - Patient Story, Lauri Bolton – Info
5. Executive Reports
   a.  6:45 – Quality Report and Dashboard, Tammy Moore, DNP – Info
   b.  7:00 – Finance Reports, Will Callicoat – Info
   c.  7:20 – Executive Report, Josh Martin – Info
      i.  2017 Strategic Plan Mid-Year Review – Info
6. Commissioner Business
   a.  7:40 – Hot Topic Article “Practical Matters ‘Rocking the Boat’” – Info
      i.  How does this article pertain to Summit Pacific and our Vision?
      ii. On a scale of 1-5 (1 being the best and 5 being the worst), rank how our Board measures in these skills? Highlight areas that we do well and areas that need improvement.
   b.  8:00 – Election of Officers – Andrew Hooper – Action (vote)
   c.  8:20 – Medical Staff Privileges
      i.  John Rodakowski, MD – Re-appointment Family Medicine
      ii.  Greg Wolgamot, MD – Re-appointment Pathology
   d.  8:30- Resolution 2017-12 Public Record Request Policy, Officer and Index – Action (vote)
   e.  8:40- Resolution 2017-13 Surplus Property – Action (vote)
   f.  8:50 – Wrap up – Andrew Hooper
      i.  Meeting Evaluation – Action
7. 9:00 Executive Session (RCW 42.30.110)
   a.  (i) discuss claims with legal counsel
      ▪  existing or reasonably expected litigation
      ▪  litigation or legal risks expected to result in adverse legal or financial consequences
   b.  (g) qualifications of applicant or review performance of public employee/elective office
8. 9:10 - Adjournment

Upcoming events: - BOLD events indicate desired Commissioner attendance.

➢ August 1-2, 2017 – Board of Commissioners Strategic Planning | Alderbrook Resort and Spa
➢ August 29, 2017 4:00-5:00 pm – Community Education Dinner “Nutrition for Kids of All Ages”, Chef Brandon Smith
➢ September 16, 2017 – Summit Pacific Medical Foundation
➢ September 16, 2017 4:00 pm - Sip and Sail Gala | Alderbrook Resort and Spa
Consent Agenda

A very useful technique involves the use of a consent agenda. The board agenda planners (usually the executive or governance committee, but occasionally the board chair with the CEO) divide agenda issues into two groups of items. The first are those items that must be acted on because of legal, regulatory, or other requirements, but are not significant enough to warrant discussion by the full board. Such issues are combined into a single section of the board agenda book; members review these materials prior to the meeting, and if no one has any questions or concerns, the entire block of issues is approved with one board vote and no discussion. This frees up a tremendous amount of time that would otherwise be squandered on minor issues. Any member can request that an item be removed from the consent agenda and discussed by the full board. The success of the consent agenda is predicated upon all board members reading the material in the consent agenda section of the board agenda book. If they do not, then the board becomes a veritable rubber stamp. The second group of agenda items are those important issues that require discussion, deliberation, and action by the board. These are addressed one by one.

Executive Session Justification

Executive Session is convened to discuss the following topics, as permitted by the cited sections of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW):

- Executive session (RCW 42.30.110)
  - (a) national security
  - (b) real estate
  - (c) negotiations of publicly bid contracts
  - (d) export trading
  - (e) complaints against public officers/employees
  - (f) qualifications of applicant or review performance of public employee/elective office
  - (g) evaluate qualifications of candidate for appointment to elective office
  - (i) discuss claims with legal counsel
    - existing or reasonably expected litigation
    - litigation or legal risks expected to result in adverse legal or financial consequences
    - presence of legal counsel alone does not justify executive session
  - QI/peer review committee documents and discussions
- Final action must be in open meeting